Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation Environmental Assessment

| Operator:Marathon Oil Company Well Name/Number: Wegner 34-11H Location: SW SE Section 11 T31N R58E County: Sheridan, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat |
|---|
| Air Quality |
| (possible concerns) |
| Long drilling time: No, 25-35 days drilling time. |
| Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Triple derrick drilling rig, to drill to |
| 18,8791'MD/9,641'TVD single lateral Bakken Formation test well. |
| Possible H2S gas production: Yes, slight chance of H2S gas. |
| In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area. |
| Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under |
| <u>75-2-211.</u> Mitigation: |
| X Air quality permit (AQB review) |
| Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas |
| Special equipment/procedures requirements |
| Other: |
| Comments: Drill a 18,8791'MD/9,641'TVD single lateral Bakken Formation test well. |
| No concerns. |
| |
| |
| Water Quality (possible concerns) |
| Salt/oil based mud: Yes to intermediate casing string hole will be drilled with oil based invert |
| drilling fluids. Horizontal lateral will be drilled with brine water. Surface casing freshwater, |
| and freshwater mud system to be used. |
| High water table: No high water table anticipated. |
| Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral drainage, |
| about 1/8 of a mile to the northeast of this location. |
| Water well contamination: None, closest water well is about 3/4 of a mile to the west from this |
| location. All other water wells are 1 mile and further from this location. Surface hole will be |
| drilled with freshwater to 1850'. Surface casing will be run and cemented to surface. |
| Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sandy clay soils. |
| Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages. |
| Mitigation: |
| X Lined reserve pit |
| \underline{X} Adequate surface casing |
| Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage |
| Closed mud system |
| Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility) |
| X Other: Semi closed loop mud system to be used. Oil based invert drilling fluids will |
| be recycled. Cuttings will be mixed with fly ash and buried in the lined cuttings pit. |

Comments: 1850' of surface casing will be necessary to cover the base of the Fox Hills

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

| (possible concerns) |
|--|
| Steam crossings: None anticipated. |
| High erosion potential: No, location requires a moderate cut, up to 16.0' and a moderate fill, up |
| to 14.2', .required. |
| Loss of soil productivity: <u>Slight.</u> |
| Unusually large wellsite: No, a large well site 400'X500' |
| Damage to improvements: No, location to be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If |
| productive unused portion of the drilling pad will be reclaimed. |
| Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight, surface use is cultivated land. |
| Mitigation |
| Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance) |
| Exception location requested |
| X Stockpile topsoil |
| Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review) |
| X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive |
| Special construction methods to enhance reclamation |
| Other |
| Comments: Access will be over existing county gravel road, View Hill Road and existing |
| section line trail. An access trail off the existing county road into this location will be built |
| and/or upgraded. Estimated new road length is about 0.1 miles into the location. Cuttings will |
| be mixed with fly ash and dumped in the lined cuttings pit. Cuttings pit will be backfilled when |
| drilling is completed. Drilling fluids will be recycled or hauled to a Class II disposal. |
| Completion fluids in the reserve pit will be hauled to a commercial Class II disposal. |
| Comprehensia in the reserve pre with the inventor to a commercial Cause in case posture. |
| |
| Health Hazards/Noise |
| |
| (possible concerns) |
| Proximity to public facilities/residences: Yes, residences about 5/8 to 3/4 of a mile northwest, 1/2 |
| of a mile to the south, 7/8 of a mile to the west and 5/8 of a mile to the southeast from this |
| location. The town of Medicine Lake, Montana lies about 17.5 miles to the northwest from this |
| location. |
| Possibility of H2S: _Slight chance of H2S. |
| Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time. |
| |
| Mitigation: |
| _X Proper BOP equipment |

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems.

__ Topographic sound barriers

Wildlife/recreation

| (possible concerns) |
|--|
| Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): Medicine Lake National Wildlife |
| Refuge is about 9 miles to the west from this location. |
| Proximity to recreation sites: Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge is about 9 miles to the |
| west from this location. |
| Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No |
| Conflict with game range/refuge management: No |
| Threatened or endangered Species: Threatened or endangered species listed on the Region 6 |
| USFW website are the Piping Plover and Whooping Crane. Candidate species is the Sprague's |
| Pipit. NH Tracker website lists ten (10) species of concern as follows: Baird's Sparrow, |
| Nelson's Sparrow, Grasshopper Sparrow, Sprague's Pipit, Burrowing Owl, Ferruginous Hawk, |
| Bobolink, Whooping Crane, Long-billed Curlew and the Western Hog-nosed Snake. |
| Mitigation: |
| Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception) |
| Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL) |
| Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite |
| Other: |
| Comments: No Concerns. No live water nearby. Private cultivated surface lands. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Historical/Cultural/Paleontological |
| (possible concerns) |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified. Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified . Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified . Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified Mitigationavoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)Other: Comments:No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns) |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified . Mitigationavoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)Other: Comments:No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns)Substantial effect on tax baseCreate demand for new governmental services |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified . Mitigation _ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) _ other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) _ Other: Comments: No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns) _ Substantial effect on tax base _ Create demand for new governmental services _ Population increase or relocation |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites:None identified . Mitigationavoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)Other: Comments:No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns)Substantial effect on tax baseCreate demand for new governmental services |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified . Mitigation _ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) _ other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) _ Other: Comments: No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns) _ Substantial effect on tax base _ Create demand for new governmental services _ Population increase or relocation |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified . Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation Comments: No concerns Remarks or Special Concerns for this site |
| (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites: None identified . Mitigationavoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)Other: Comments: No Concerns. Private cultivated surface lands. Social/Economic (possible concerns)Substantial effect on tax baseCreate demand for new governmental servicesPopulation increase or relocation Comments: No concerns |

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected from the drilling of this well. Some short term impacts will occur, but will be mitigated in time.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/<u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/<u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

| Prepared by (BOGC): /s/Steven Sasaki |
|--|
| (title:) Chief Field Inspector |
| Date: <u>August 17, 2011</u> |
| Other Persons Contacted: |
| Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website |
| (Name and Agency) |
| _Water wells in Sheridan County |
| (subject discussed) |
| August 12, 2011 |
| (date) |
| US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website |
| (Name and Agency) |
| ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA |
| COUNTIES, Sheridan County, Montana |
| (subject discussed) |
| <u>August 12, 2011</u> |
| |
| Montana Natural Heritage Program Website |
| (Name and Agency) |
| Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, Location T31N R58E |
| (subject discussed) |
| August 17, 2011 |
| (date) |
| If location was inspected before permit approval: |
| Inspection date: _August 16, 2011 |
| Inspector: Schmidt |
| Others present during inspection: None |